

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -65)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,104 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.
<b>Nov 14, 2018</b>
<small>CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION</small>

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office. Attest: Sandy Opacich, Clerk  
U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio  
By: /s/Robert Pitts  
Deputy Clerk



**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2804

**SCHEDULE CTO-65 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>ARIZONA</b>			
AZ	4	18-00532	Tucson Medical Center v. Purdue Pharma LP et al Opposed 11/13/18
<b>FLORIDA NORTHERN</b>			
FLN	4	18-00484	CITY OF TALLAHASSEE v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
FLN	4	18-00485	LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA v. AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION et al
<b>KENTUCKY EASTERN</b>			
KYE	5	18-00590	Estill County Emergency Medical Services v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
KYE	6	18-00275	Owsley County Fiscal Court v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>LOUISIANA EASTERN</b>			
LAE	2	18-10021	Lopinto v. AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation, et al
<b>MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN</b>			
MSS	2	18-00185	City of Lumberton, Mississippi v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>NEVADA</b>			
NV	2	18-02050	Nye County, Nevada v. Amerisourcebergen Drug Corporation et al
<b>OKLAHOMA EASTERN</b>			
OKE	6	18-00355	Choctaw Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 11/13/18
OKE	6	18-00356	Chickasaw Nation v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al Opposed 11/13/18